arXiv:hep-th/0511177v2 18 Nov 2008

Further Evidence for a Gravitational Fixed Point

Roberto Percacci*
SISSA, via Beirut 4, I-34014 Trieste, Italy and
INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Italy

A theory of gravity with a generic action functional and minimally coupled to N matter fields has a nontrivial fixed point in the leading large N approximation. At this fixed point, the cosmological constant and Newton's constant are nonzero and UV relevant; the curvature squared terms are asymptotically free with marginal behaviour; all higher order terms are irrelevant and can be set to zero by a suitable choice of cutoff function.

PACS numbers: 04.60.-m, 11.10.Hi

There is growing evidence that a generalized version of General Relativity, possibly including terms of higher order in curvature, may be asymptotically safe in the sense of [1]. This property hinges on the existence of a nontrivial Fixed Point (FP) of the Renormalization Group (RG) flow of the gravitational couplings. Using Exact Renormalization Group Equation (ERGE) methods, it has been shown that a FP exists in 4 dimensions within the Einstein-Hilbert truncation (where only the cosmological constant and Newton's constant are retained) and there are various arguments suggesting that this FP is not a mere artifact of the truncation [2,3]. Independent evidence for the existence of a FP comes from recent results in causal dynamical triangulations [4]. Since in the real world gravity does not exist in isolation, but rather interacts with many matter fields, it is important to establish that matter interactions do not spoil the FP. (In fact, for applications to physics it is not logically required that pure gravity exists as a quantum theory: it is only necessary that a theory of gravity and realistic matter exists). In this direction, positive evidence has come from the analysis of arbitrary matter fields minimally coupled to gravity in the Einstein-Hilbert truncation [5], and from the study of the coupled scalar-gravity theory with arbitrary couplings of the form ϕ^{2n} and $\phi^{2n}R$ with $n \geq 0$ (thus including the original Einstein-Hilbert terms) [6].

One of the central issues of this approach is the extension of the results to include higher gravitational couplings. The beta functions of four–derivative gravity have been the subject of several papers in the past [7], also [8] and references therein; for the state of the art on this subject see [9]. Analyzing the structure of divergences in dimensional regularization, it has been shown that the couplings of the terms quadratic in curvature are asymptotically free; however, due to the fact that dimensional regularization is not well–suited to the discussion of mass and threshold effects, the behaviour of the cosmological constant and Newton's constant has not been thoroughly understood. In [10] a term proportional to R^2 was included in the truncation of the ERGE and it was shown that its presence does not spoil the existence of the FP. In fact, the FP values of the cosmological constant and Newton's constant are only slightly changed relative to the Einstein–Hilbert truncation, and the FP–value of the new term is quite small.

In this note we shall consider a different approximation scheme, namely the large N expansion, where N is the number of matter fields. This approximation has been first applied to gravity in [11] and was later used in [12] to prove the existence of a FP. I will use the ERGE in conjunction with the large N approximation and the heat kernel expansion to rederive and extend this result. The truncation will consist of assuming that all matter self-interactions can be neglected. Nothing will be assumed a priori about the gravitational action. In the leading order in N the analysis is so simplified, that we will be able to prove the existence of the FP for arbitrary gravitational couplings, and furthermore we shall prove that there exists a scheme (*i.e.* a choice of cutoff function) such that all the coefficients of terms of cubic and higher order in the curvature vanish.

The ERGE can be written, slightly symbolically,

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{\delta^2\Gamma_k}{\delta\Phi\delta\Phi} + R_k\right)^{-1}\partial_t R_k \tag{1}$$

where Γ_k is the coarse–grained effective action, Φ are all the fields in the theory, Tr denotes a trace over all degrees of freedom of the theory (sum over indices and integration over momenta), R_k is a cutoff function that suppresses the propagation of modes with momenta lower than k and $t = \log k$. The RG flow of the theory can be computed by making an Ansatz for the effective action Γ_k, inserting it in (1) and reading off the beta functions of the couplings.

For simplicity we will begin by considering gravity coupled to N real scalar fields. In the spirit of an effective field theory, we will consider arbitrary gravitational actions of the form

$$S_{grav}(g_{\mu\nu}; g_i^{(n)}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_i g_i^{(n)}\mathcal{O}_i^{(n)} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_i^{(n)} = \int d^n x\,\sqrt{g}\mathcal{M}_i^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_i^{(n)}$ are polynomials in the curvature and its derivatives involving $2n$ deriva-

---

*Electronic address: percacci@sissa.it

tives of the metric. The index i is used to label different polynomials having the same n (and hence the same mass dimension $2n$). The first two polynomials are simply $\mathcal{M}^{(0)} = 1$ and $\mathcal{M}^{(1)} = R$. The corresponding couplings are $g^{(0)} = 2Z_g\Lambda$, where Λ is the cosmological constant and $g^{(1)} = -Z_g = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}$, where G is Newton's constant. For $n = 2$ I will follow [7-9] and choose as independent polynomials

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^{(2)}_1 =& C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 2R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}R^2 \\ \mathcal{M}^{(2)}_2 =& G = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 \\ \mathcal{M}^{(2)}_3 =& R^2 \ ; \ \ \mathcal{M}^{(2)}_4 = \nabla^2 R \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where C is the Weyl tensor and G is $32\pi^2$ times the integrand of the Gauss–Bonnet topological invariant. The coefficients $g^{(2)}_1$ and $g^{(2)}_3$ are sometimes denoted $1/\lambda$ and $-\omega/3\lambda$ [8,9]. The second and fourth terms are total derivatives and do not contribute to local physical processes. We will assume that the scalar fields are all massless and minimally coupled to gravity, with inverse propagator $z = -\nabla^2$. For the running effective action Γ_k we assume that it is the sum of the scalar action and (2), where all couplings $g^{(n)}_i$ are supposed to be k-dependent. This defines our truncation. We will discuss later the stability of this truncation against quantum fluctuations.

In the $1/N$ expansion one assumes that the number of matter fields $N \to \infty$ [11]. Here we shall restrict ourselves to the leading order approximation, where one simply neglects the gravitational contribution with respect to the matter contribution. This eliminates most of the complications that arise in the gravitational ERGE calculations and provides the simplest way of arriving at a gravitational FP. Taking into account the cutoff function, the modified inverse propagator is $P_k(z) = z + R_k(z)$; we can then write the ERGE (1)

$$\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{N}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) \tag{4}$$

The trace of a function f of the Laplacian can be written as

$$\mathrm{Tr} f \equiv \sum_i f(\lambda_i) = \int_0^\infty dt \mathrm{Tr} K(t)\tilde{f}(t) \tag{5}$$

where λ_i are the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, $\tilde{f}$ is the Laplace transform of f and $\mathrm{Tr}K(t) = \sum_n e^{-t\lambda_n}$ is the trace of the heat kernel of $-\nabla^2$. (We assume that there are no negative and zero eigenvalues; if present, these will have to be dealt with separately.)

One can then use the known properties of the heat kernel to calculate the trace in various circumstances. If we are interested in the local behaviour of the theory (*i.e.* the behaviour at scales k much smaller than the typical curvature) we can use the asymptotic expansion

$$\mathrm{Tr}K(t) \approx B_0 t^{-2} + B_2 t^{-1} + B_4 + B_6 t + \dots \tag{6}$$

where $B_{2k} = \int d^4x \sqrt{g}\, b_{2k}$, b_{2k} being well-polynomials in the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives. Then we get

$$\mathrm{Tr} f = B_0 Q_2(f) + B_2 Q_1(f) + B_4 Q_0(f) + B_6 Q_{-1}(f) + \dots \tag{7}$$

where

$$Q_n(f) = \int_0^\infty dt t^{-n} \tilde{f}(t) \tag{8}$$

We can rewrite these integrals in terms of the original function f: for $n \geq 1$ it is a Mellin transform

$$Q_n(f) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)}\int_0^\infty dz z^{n-1} f(z) \tag{9}$$

whereas for $n \leq 0$

$$Q_n(f) = (-1)^n f^{(n)}(0) \tag{10}$$

where $f^{(n)}$ denotes the n-th derivative of f. Using (7),

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \Gamma_k = \frac{N}{2}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int d^4x \sqrt{g}\Bigg[& Q_2\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) + \frac{1}{6} R Q_1\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{180}\left(\frac{3}{2}C^2 - \frac{1}{2}G + \frac{5}{2}R^2 + 6\nabla^2 R\right) Q_0\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) + \dots\Bigg]\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Define $\partial_t g^{(n)}_i = \beta^{(n)}_i = k^{4-2n} a^{(n)}_i$. The coefficient of each term in (11) is the beta function of the corresponding coupling. The beta functions of the dimensionless couplings $\tilde{g}^{(n)}_i = g^{(n)}_i k^{2n-4}$ are given by

$$\partial_t \tilde{g}^{(n)}_i = \tilde{\beta}^{(n)}_i = (2n-4)\tilde{g}^{(n)}_i + a^{(n)}_i \tag{12}$$

where the numbers $a^{(n)}_i$ can be read off (11). For all $n \neq 2$ this leads to a fixed point

$$\tilde{g}^{(n)}_{i*} = \frac{1}{4-2n} a^{(n)}_i \tag{13}$$

For $n = 2$ one gets instead the following solution:

$$g_i^{(2)}(k) = g_i^{(2)}(k_0) + a_i^{(2)} \ln(k/k_0) \qquad (14)$$

As noted in [1], the condition of reaching a FP has to be imposed on those parameters for which a divergence would lead to divergent physical reaction rates. In the Wilsonian approach used here, it is enough to consider the tree level formulas deduced from the effective action. In the case of the terms $\mathcal{M}_1^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{M}_3^{(2)}$, the interactions are not proportional $a_1^{(2)}$ and $a_3^{(2)}$, but rather to their inverses (in the notation of [8.9], they are given by λ and $-3\lambda/\omega$). The logarithmic running of the $a^{(2)}$'s implies a FP, more specifically, asymptotic freedom, for these coupling constants. The physical significance of the parameters $a_2^{(2)}$ and $a_4^{(2)}$, which are the coefficients of total derivative terms, is less clear in this respect, and we simply observe that the running derived above implies asymptotic freedom for their inverses.

Choosing a cutoff function R_k determines the numbers $a_i^{(n)}$ and hence affects the position of the FP. This choice does not affect physically measurable quantities, however. One particularly convenient choice of cutoff function is the so-called optimized cutoff $R_k(z) = (k^2 - z)\theta(k^2 - z)$, where θ is the Heaviside step function [13]. With this choice we get $Q_2\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) = k^4$, $Q_1\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) = 2k^2$, $Q_0\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) = 2$ and $Q_n\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) = 0$ for $n \leq -1$. In terms of the cosmological constant and Newton's constant, the FP occurs for

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda k^{-2} = -\frac{3}{4}\ ; \qquad \tilde{G} = G k^2 = -\frac{12\pi}{N} \qquad (15)$$

The sign of Newton's constant is unphysical, but this is only a feature of the scalar fields (see eq.(18)). Perhaps the biggest surprise is the fact that in this scheme the FP–value of all the couplings with $n \geq 3$ is zero. This is due to the flatness of the function $\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}$ for small z. With the optimized cutoff, it would therefore be consistent to neglect all the terms with more than four derivatives of the metric.

The dimension of the critical surface is determined by the linearized flow, which is defined by the matrix $M_{ij} = \frac{\partial \tilde{\beta}_i}{\partial \tilde{g}_j}\big|_*$. Since the $a_i^{(n)}$ in (12) are independent of the couplings, the eigenvalues of M are equal to the canonical dimensions. Therefore, the cosmological constant and Newton's constant are UV relevant (attractive), the $g_i^{(2)}$ couplings are marginal and all the higher terms are irrelevant.

With the same techniques, one can explore also other regimes. For large t, the heat kernel on a noncompact manifold has the asymptotic behaviour $K(t) \approx \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} t^{-1} S$ where [15]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{g}\left[\frac{1}{6}R + \frac{1}{12}R\frac{1}{\nabla^2}R - \frac{2}{3}R_{\mu\nu}\frac{1}{\nabla^2}R^{\mu\nu} + \dots\right]$$

Since all the terms have the same dimension, they also have the same overall running. The beta functions of the operators appearing in S are equal to $\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}Q_1(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k})$, times the coefficient of the operator as it appears in S. Consequently, there is a FP where the FP–action is proportional to S, the exact coefficient being scheme–dependent. With the optimized cutoff, the FP–action is $\Gamma_* = \frac{N}{64\pi^2}k^2 S$. We note that nonlocal actions of this form may have some relevance to cosmology [16].

Let us now consider the effect of other matter fields. Following [14], we can calculate the contribution to the ERGE of n_S scalar fields, n_D Dirac fields, n_M Maxwell fields, all massless and minimally coupled. Unlike in [14], however, we shall not make any assumption about the background metric, so as to be able to discriminate the coefficients of various contractions of two curvatures. For each type of field we choose the cutoff function in such a way that the modified propagator has the form $P_k(\mathcal{O})$, where $\mathcal{O}^{(S)} = -\nabla^2$ on scalars, $\mathcal{O}^{(D)} = -\nabla^2 + \frac{R}{4}$ on Dirac fields and $\mathcal{O}^{(V)} = -\nabla^2\delta^\mu_\nu + R^\mu{}_\nu$ on Maxwell fields in the gauge $\lambda = 1$. We can then use the heat kernel coefficients given *e.g.* in [17]. In the case of the Maxwell fields, the calculation of the effective action with nonminimal operators (corrisponding to other gauges) was given in [18], and shows that the results are gauge–independent.

We assume that the numbers n_S, n_W and n_M are all of order N, or zero. The ERGE becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \Gamma_k =& \frac{n_S}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{(S)}\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) - \frac{n_D}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{(D)}\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) + \\ &+ \frac{n_M}{2}\mathrm{Tr}_{(M)}\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right) - n_M \mathrm{Tr}_{(S)}\left(\frac{\partial_t P_k}{P_k}\right)\end{aligned} \qquad (16)$$

where the argument of each function appearing under the traces is the appropriate operator $\mathcal{O}$ and the last term is due to the ghosts. With the optimized cutoffs, the beta functions are characterized by the following coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned}a^{(0)} =& \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\left(n_S - 4n_D + 2n_M\right)\\ a^{(1)} =& \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\left(n_S + 2n_D - 4n_M\right)\\ a_1^{(2)} =& \frac{1}{2880\pi^2}\left(\frac{3}{2}n_S + 9n_D + 18n_M\right)\\ a_2^{(2)} =& \frac{1}{2880\pi^2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}n_S - \frac{11}{2}n_D - 31n_M\right)\\ a_3^{(2)} =& \frac{1}{2880\pi^2}\frac{5}{2}n_S\\ a_4^{(2)} =& \frac{1}{2880\pi^2}\left(6n_S + 6n_D - 18n_M\right)\end{aligned} \qquad (17)$$

The fixed point occurs at

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Lambda}_* =& -\frac{3}{4}\frac{n_S - 4n_D + 2n_M}{n_S + 2n_D - 4n_M}\\ \tilde{G}_* =& \frac{12\pi}{-n_S - 2n_D + 4n_M}\end{aligned} \qquad (18)$$

We see that there is a FP for any value of n_S, n_W and n_M. As expected, bosons and fermions contribute with opposite signs to the cosmological constant. Newton's constant is only positive if the ratio of scalar and Dirac to Maxwell fields is not too large. The couplings $(g_i^{(2)})^{-1}$ are asymptotically free, tending to zero from above or below depending on the sign of $a_i^{(2)}$, and again $g_{i*}^{(n)} = 0$ for $n \geq 3$. The sign of $a_1^{(2)}$ and $a_3^{(2)}$ guarantees that the Euclidean path integral is damped. Since this action represents the asymptotic UV behaviour of the theory, it is not required to reproduce the observed large–scale behaviour of gravity.

Let us now discuss the consistency of the truncation that has been used in this paper. In general, one could expect processes with intermediate gravitons to generate matter interactions even if they were initially set to zero. However, this will not happen in the UV limit if the interactions are asymptotically free. It has been shown in [6] that a large class of scalar interactions becomes asymptotically free in the presence of gravity. Thus, the truncation we used here for the scalar fields is probably consistent. Gauge fields are asymptotically free already in flat space and it is reasonable to expect that the situation will not change in the presence of dynamical gravity. More troublesome are the Yukawa couplings, which in the standard model have positive beta functions. It is tempting to conjecture that the phenomenon that occurs for scalar fields is more general, and that there exists a "Gaussian–Matter FP" where all the matter interactions are asymptotically free and only the purely gravitational couplings (2) have novanishing values at the FP. Earlier calculations reported in [8] suggest that this is the case. Then, the truncation adopted in this paper with regard to the matter fields would be a consistent truncation.

The use of the large N limit has several advantages over previous calculations. First of all, it dramatically simplifies the derivation of the beta functions, making the result very trasparent. Furthermore, the calculations presented here are free of the gauge fixing ambiguities that occur when graviton loops are taken into account. This shows that the FP cannot be an artifact of the gauge choice. The most important result derived here is the existence of the FP for all the terms of higher order in curvature. What is perhaps even more striking, all the couplings with $n \geq 3$ can be made to vanish by a simple choice of cutoff scheme. It is known that the position of the FP is scheme dependent, but in the case of the cosmological constant and Newton's constant no sensible scheme is known were they vanish at the FP.

One of the problems with applications of the ERGE is the absence of systematic ways of estimating the errors due to the truncation. If one can work with a sufficiently general consistent truncation, the large N limit introduces just such an expansion parameter. Calculating the next–to–leading order in $1/N$ requires the evaluation of graviton contributions. This is obviously impossible with the full gravitational action (2), but the results presented here suggest that in certain schemes it may be consistent to set to zero all terms with $n \geq 3$. This would not be an *a priori*, uncontrollable truncation: due to the scheme–independence of the physical results, it would be equivalent to calculating with the full action.

Acknowlegements

I wish to thank M. Reuter for discussions and correspondence.

References

[1] S. Weinberg, In *General Relativity: An Einstein centenary survey*, ed. S. W. Hawking and W. Israel, chapter 16, pp.790–831; Cambridge University Press (1979).

[2] W. Souma, Prog. Theor. Phys. **102**, 181 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9907027].

[3] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, *Phys. Rev.* **D65**, 025013 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0108040]; Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 483 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0110021]; Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A 17**, 993 (2002). [arXiv:hep-th/0112089]; M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 065016 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0110054].

[4] J. Ambjørn, J. Jurkiewicz, R. Loll, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** 171301 (2005), [arxiv:hep-th/0505113]; Phys. Rev. **D72** 064014 (2005), [arxiv:hep-th/0505154].

[5] R. Percacci and D. Perini, *Phys. Rev.* **D67**, 081503(R) (2003), [arXiv:hep-th/0207033].

[6] R. Percacci and D. Perini, *Phys. Rev.* **D68**, 044018 (2003), [arXiv:hep-th/0304222].

[7] E.S. Fradkin, A.A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. **104 B**, 377 (1981); Nucl. Phys. **B 201**, 469 (1982); I.G. Avramidi, A.O. Barvinski, Phys. Lett. **159 B**, 269 (1985).

[8] I.L. Buchbinder, S.D. Odintsov and I.L. Shapiro, "Effective action in quantum gravity", IOPP Publishing, Bristol (1992).

[9] de Berredo–Peixoto and I. Shapiro, Phys.Rev. **D71** 064005 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412249]

[10] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, *Phys. Rev.* **d 66**, 025026 (2002).

[11] E.T. Tomboulis, Phys. Lett. **70 B** 361 (1977), [arXiv:hep-th/9601082]; Phys. Lett. **97 B** 77 (1980).

[12] L. Smolin, Nuclear Physics **B 208**, 439-466 (1982).

[13] D.F. Litim, Phys.Rev. **D 64** 105007 (2001), [arXiv:hep-th/0103195]; Phys.Rev.Lett. **92** 201301 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0312114].

[14] D. Dou and R. Percacci, Class and Quantum Grav. **15**, 3449 (1998).

[15] A.O. Barvinski and G.A. Vilkoviski, *Nuclear Physics* **B 333**, 471 (1990).

[16] C. Wetterich, Gen. Rel. and Grav. **30**, 159-172 (1998), [arXiv: gr-qc/9704052].

[17] S.M. Christensen and M.J. Duff, Nucl. Phys. **B 154**, 301 (1979).

[18] A.O. Barvinski and G.A. Vilkoviski, Phys. Rep. **119**, 1 (1985).